Creating opportunities for Employment

† 4355. SHRI BRATIN SENGUPTA: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the target set for creating the opportunity of employment during the years 199S-99 and 1999-2000;

(b) the number of persons benefited, State-wise *vis-a-vis* the target set therefor; and

(c) the names of States that failed to achieve the actual target during the above years?

THE MINISTER OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. VENKAIAH NAIDU): (a) to (c) Till 31-03-1999, the Employment Assurance Scheme was demand driven and no targets were fixed. However, with effect from 1-04-1999, it has been restructured and had become single wage employment and allocation based scheme. The targets for generation of employment are now fixed. The State-wise details of number of mandays generated during 1998-99 and targets fixed for generation of employment and achievement thereof during 1999-2000 are given in Statement I and II respectively. *(See* below)

The Jawahar Rozgar Yojana, till 31-03-1999 was also a wage employment programme. The State-wise details of targets of employment generation and achievement during 1998-99 are given in Statement-Ill. *(See* below) However, with effect from 1-04-1999, it has been restructured and renamed as the Jawahar Gram Samridhi Yojana and it has become infrastructure development scheme.

† Originai notice of the Question was received in Hindi.

Statement-I

Physical performance under Employment Assurance Scheme (EAS) during 1998-99

SI. No.	Name of the States & UTs	Month Code	Wage Employment Generated (Lakhs Mandays)
1.	Andhra Pradesh	3	370.67
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	3	38.29
3.	Assam	3	259.86
4.	Bihar	3	400.89
5.	Goa	3	2.65
6.	Gujarat	3	63.07
7.	Haryana	3	18.02
8.	Himachal Pradesh	3	35.45
9.	J & K'	3	69.37
10.	Karnataka	3	292.41
11.	Kerala	3	55.75
12.	Madhya Pradesh	3	429.43
13.	Maharashtra	3	205.62
14.	Manipur	3	16.97
15.	Meghalaya	3	10.69
16.	Mizoram	3	19.56
17.	Nagaland	1	51.59
18.	Orissa	3	340.14
19.	Punjab	3	19.74
20.	Rajasthan	3	209.61
21.	Sikkim	3	8.20
22.	Tamil Nadu	3	457.09
23.	Tripura	3	40.86
24.	Uttar Pradesh	3	754.31
25.	West Bengal	3	106.37
26.	A & N Islands	3	0.49
27.	D & N Havel i	11	0.13
28.	Daman & Diu	2	0.03
29.	Lakshadweep	3	1.72
30.	Pondicherry	3	0.38
	ALL INDIA :		4279.36

N.B. EAS was demand driven scheme with no fixed allocation and target.

Statement-II

Physical performance under Employment Assurance Scheme (EAS) during 1999-2000

(Lakhs Mandays)

SI. No.	State/UTs	Month Code	Wage Employment to be Generated (TARGET)	Employment Generated	Percentage of Achievement to Target
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Andhra Pradesh	3	301.60	175.63	58.23
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	3	7.47	26.25	351.41
3.	Assam	3	135.37	148.52	109.71
4.	Bihar	3	645.23	384.62	59.61
5.	Goa	3	0.49	1.05	214.29
6.	Gujarat	3	59.97	48.49	80.86
7.	Haryana	3	32.84	22.65	68.97
8.	Himachal Pradesh	3	16.47	25.65	155.74
9.	Jatnmu & Kashmir*	3	25.79	26.27	101.84
10.	Karnataka	3	194.58	185.95	95.56
11.	Kerala	3	67.35	42.94	63.76
12.	Madhya Pradesh	3	418.69	288.90	69.00
13.	Maharashtra	3	571.53	234.67	41.06
14.	Manipur	3	7.86	9.70	123.40
15.	Meghalaya	12	9.79	7.67	78.35
16.	Mizoram	3	1.73	4.95	286.13
17.	Nagaland	3	9.21	22.92	248.86
18.	Orissa	3	335.48	215.42	64.21
19.	Punjab	3	14.49	16.81	116.01
20.	Rajasthan	3	177.51	91.89	51.77
21.	Sikkim	3	2.15	5.34	248,37
22.	Tamil Nadu	3	227.29	166.79	73.38
23.	Tripura	3	16.90	17.91	105.98
24.	Uttar Pradesh	3	593.38	485.73	81.86

1	2	3	4	5	6
25.	West Bengal	3	214.88	127.70	59.43
26.	A & N Islands	3	1.41	0.39	27.38
27.	D & N Haveli	3	1.04	0.21	20.19
28.	Daman & Diu	3	0.04	0,00	0.00
29.	Lakshadweep	3	0.09	0,87	966.67
30.	Pondicherry	3	1.00	0.29	28.60
	ALL INDIA		4091.63	2786.17	68.09

Statement-III

Physical performance under JRY during 1998-99

(Lakhs Mandays)

SI. No.	State/UTs	Month Code	Annual Target (tentative)	Achieve-ment	% age Ach.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Andhra Pradesh	3	254.01	224,68	88.45
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	J	7.97	3.96	49.69
3.	Assam	3	144.36	199.57	138.24
4.	Bihar	3	688.11	584.91	85.00
5.	Goa	3	3.32	1.70	51.20
6.	Gujarat	3	53.34	59.18	110,95
7.	Haryana	3	30.49	23.84	78.19
8.	Himachal Pradesh	3	17.00	15.39	90.53
9.	Jammu & Kashmir*	3	27.50	20.59	74.87
10.	Karnataka	3	188.82	222,16	117.66
11.	Kerala	3	69.77	39.39	56.46
12.	Madhya Pradesh	3	325.80	319.34	98.02
13.	Maharashtra	3	541.22	403.81	74.61
14.	Manipur	3	6.92	5.59	80.78
15.	Meghalaya	3	10.22	5.91	57.83
16.	Mizoram	3	1.84	4.36	236.96
17.	Nagaland	3	9.82	23.73	241.65
18.	Orissa	3	317.94	296.84	93.36
19.	Punjab	3	15.46	13.89	89.84
20.	Rajasthan	3	149.43	148.30	99.24

1	2	3	4	5	6
21.	Sikkim	3	2.29	6.13	267.69
22.	Tamil Nadu	3	230.42	280.97	121.94
23.	Tripura	3	18.02	34.72	192.67
24.	Uttar Pradesh	3	626.32	691.39	110.39
25.	West Bengal	3	220.83	134.45	60.88
26.	A & N Islands	3	130	0.38	29.23
27.	D & N Haveli	1	1.11	0.67	60.36
28.	Daman & Diu	3	0.57	0.11	19.30
29.	Lakshadweep	1	1.12	0.42	37.50
30.	Pondicherry	3	1.25	0.03	2.11
	TOTAL :		3966.57	3766.41	94.95

Sectorwise achievement have not been reported by J&K,

House to Cylone Affected Victims in AP Under IAY

4356. DR. Y. LAKSHMI PRASAD: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government of Andhra Pradesh has urged the Union Government to allocate 2 lakh houses additionally under IAY to provide shelter to the cyclone victims;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) whether Government has agreed to sanction the above houses to the State for providing permanent shelter to the cyclone victims in the affected areas; and

(d) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI SUBHASH MAHARIA): (a) to (d) The Government of Andhra Pradesh had intimated that more than 10 lakh houses were damaged (fully/partly) due to cyclones/floods in the last five years and sought Additional Central Assistance under the Indira Awaas Yojana (IAY) for 2000-2001 for two lakh houses to provide permanent shelter to the cyclone victims and *pucca* houses to